

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/613,951 07/05/2003 David B. Wax 6756 EXAMINER 7590 04/30/2004 David Wax SAKRAN, VICTOR N P. O. Box 453 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER New York, NY 10024 3677

DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		 		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/613,95	51	WAX, DAVID B.	N /
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
			SAKRAN	3677	J
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	3S
A SHO THE N - Exten after s - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum s e to reply within the set or extended period for reply pely received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. 30) days, a reply within the stat tatutory period will apply and with will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
_					
,	Responsive to communication(s) file				
-,-		2b)⊠ This action is n			andam in
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
Applicati	on Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on <u>05 July 2003</u> Applicant may not request that any objected the Replacement drawing sheet(s) includin The oath or declaration is objected the specific specifi	3 is/are: a) \square accepte ection to the drawing(s) by the correction is require	ne held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions	or documents have bee or documents have bee of the priority docume onal Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. In received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	ge
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary		
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da		2)

Application/Control Number: 10/613,951 Page 2

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: since there is no proper antecedent basis in the specification for the term "an adjustable" as recited in claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claim 1, is objected to because of the following informalities: since the

term "(or similarly-shaped implement)" is inappropriate and it should read - and the like- -. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 2, is objected to because of the following informalities: since the term "or" as recited in said claim is vague and not a positive recitation.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 3-5, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject

Art Unit: 3677

matter which applicant regards as the invention. Because the use of the term "reversibly couples" is vague and is not clearly understood, may be it should read -- releasably coupled --. Clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-6, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hager U. S. Patent No. 1,032,401 in view of Holywell U. S. Patent No. 1,462,108 and Bishop U. S. Patent No. 5,785,221.

Art Unit: 3677

Hager discloses the general combination claimed of a device assembly for retaining a pen comprising an adjustable pen connector ring (2) for securing said pen thereto, a pen holder (1) and a retractable spring (4) for connecting the pen connector ring to the pen holder; see Figures 1-4; page 1, lines 78-94; page 2, lines 26-36, except that the pen connector providing a storage for said pen and to attach the pen holder to a person's clothing. Holywell teaches the use of a pencil connector including a storage (4) attached to a pencil holder (1); see Figures 1,2; page 1, lines 25-28. Bishop teaches the use of similar device assembly including a card holder attached to a person's clothing; see Figures 1, 2; column 1, lines 43-46. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the pen connector in Hager with a pen storage and to be attached to its pen connector in the manner taught, disclosed and suggested by Holywell, and furthermore, to have its pen holder attached to a person's clothing in the manner taught and suggested by Bishop it would also be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, especially, since such modification involves only routine skill in the art. Furthermore, Applicant is reminded that in considering the disclosure of a reference, it is proper to take into account not only specific teaching of the reference but also the inferences which one skilled in the art would reasonably be expected to draw therefrom; see In re Preda, 401 F2d 825, 826, 159 USPQ 342,344 (CCPA1968).

Art Unit: 3677

Moreover, the particular location and/or the arrangement selected of an elements is considered to be no more than an obvious matter of design choice to one having ordinary skill within the art, especially, since it has been held that rearranging pa an invention is involves only routine skill in the art. See In Re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant's attention is directed to the prior art cited herein, as showing structure related to Applicant's disclosed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VICTOR N SAKRAN whose telephone number is 703-308-2224. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. swann can be reached on 703-308-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,951 Page 6

Art Unit: 3677

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 27, 2004

VICTOR N SAKRAN Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677